IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN**

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 23RD KARTHIKA 1929

**CRP.No. 1580 of 2003**

**----------**

( *CMA.1023/2002 of V ADDL.DISTRICT COURT, ERNAKULAM*
*OS.1500/2002 of I ADDL.MUNSIFF'S COURT, ERNAKULAM )*

REVN. PETITIONER/APPELLANT/PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF

---

I.S.SADANANDAN, S/O.LATE A.K.SEKHARAN,
IRIYIL HOUSE, EROOR, NADAMA VILLAGE,
KANAYANNUR TALUK, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.VARGHESE C.KURIAKOSE
ADV. SRI.JACOB SEBASTIAN
ADV. SRI.PRAVEEN K. JOY
ADV. SRI.SANTHARAM.P

RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT

---

MOHANAN, S/O.PADMANABHAN, AGED 56,
MATTAPPURATH HOUSE, EROOR NORTH,
NADAMA VILLAGE, KANAYANNUR TALUK,
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY

THIS CIVIL REVISION PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ORDERS ON 14/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

**K.T. SANKARAN, J.**

**.....**

**C.R.P. No. 1580 OF 2003**

**.....**

**Dated this the 14th November, 2007**

**O R D E R**

Notice was ordered in this Civil Revision Petition on 22.08.2003. Process is not filed so far.

2. Revision arises out of an application for temporary injunction. The trial court partly allowed the application. Both the parties filed appeals against that order. The appellate court dismissed the Civil Miscellaneous Appeals. This Revision is filed by the plaintiff challenging the judgment in the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal filed by him. The suit is of the year 2002. In all probability, the Revision would have become infructuous .

The Civil Revision Petition is dismissed for default.

**K.T. SANKARAN,**
**JUDGE.**

**lk**

**K.T. SANKARAN, J.**

**.....**

**C.R.P. No. 1580 OF 2003**

**.....**

**Dated this the 14th November, 2007**

**O R D E R**